

# PUBLICATION ETHICS

## and Publication Malpractice Statement

(based on Elsevier's recommendations and COPE's Best Practice Guidelines for Journal Editors)

JOURNAL OF EAST CENTRAL EUROPEAN STUDIES (JECES)

HERDER INSTITUTE for Historical Research on East Central Europe

JECES is committed to ensuring ethics in publication and the quality of the articles it publishes. Conformance to standards of ethical behavior is therefore expected of all parties involved: authors, the editorial office, editors/reviewers, and the publisher.

### AUTORS

- Plagiarism in all its forms constitutes unethical publishing behavior and is absolutely unacceptable.
- Authors should ensure that their work is entirely original, and if the work and/or words of others have been used, that this has been appropriately acknowledged.
- Submitting the same manuscript to more than one journal concurrently, even if the articles are in different languages, constitutes unethical publishing behavior and is unacceptable. Likewise, authors should not submit articles describing essentially the same research to more than one journal.
- Authors should present an objective discussion of the significance of their research work as well as precise references. Fraudulent or knowingly inaccurate statements constitute unethical behavior and are unacceptable. JECES will reject any texts in which such claims are detected.
- All authors are obliged to provide retractions or corrections of mistakes, regardless of whether they occur in the peer review process or later in the editing, proofreading, or typesetting phases of the article.
- All authors are obliged to provide a "Declaration on Possible Conflicts of Interest" and a "Funding Statement."

### EDITORS / REVIEWERS

- Editors and external reviewers of JECES evaluate manuscripts exclusively on the basis of their academic merit in a double-blind peer review. Reviews are conducted objectively, and observations are formulated clearly with supporting arguments (e.g., information on published work which is not yet cited) meant to help authors improve their papers.
- All manuscripts received for review are treated as confidential documents. Information and ideas obtained in the peer review process must be kept confidential and may not be used for personal advantage. Any peer who feels unqualified to review a manuscript must notify the editorial office and ask to be excused from the review process. The same applies to conflicts of interest resulting from competitive, collaborative, or any other relationships or connections with the authors, companies, or institutions associated with the papers.

### EDITORIAL OFFICE

- The editorial office, represented by the editors-in-chief and managing editor, conducts an initial evaluation of all articles submitted to assess their originality using appropriate software and online resources.
- The editorial office has complete responsibility and authority to request, accept, or reject manuscripts based on the editors' opinions. The editorial office takes reasonable measures to respond to any ethical complaints that arise concerning submitted manuscripts or published papers.

## PUBLISHER

- Herder Institute Publishing House does not interfere in any of the editors' decisions but will take reasonable steps to prevent the publication of papers where research misconduct has occurred.
- Herder Institute Publishing House is always willing to publish corrections, clarifications, and apologies when needed.
- Herder Institute Publishing House supports the authors regarding illustrations, tables, and other technical aspects of the publication process.