

Zeichen der Teilung Deutschlands“ Patze und Schlesinger verspricht, behandelt er hier nur den thüringischen Landesarchivar (bis 1956) und „gesamtdeutschen Landeshistoriker“ Patze. Aus ihrer Zusammenarbeit entstand die *Geschichte Thüringens* (1972-1984) als „wohl bemerkenswertestes Zeugnis deutscher ‚Landesgeschichtsforschung im Zeichen der Teilung Deutschlands‘“, deren Entstehungsgeschichte der Vf. instruktiv nachvollzieht.

Im letzten Beitrag, „Reinhard Wittram und der Wiederbeginn der baltischen Studien in Göttingen nach 1945“, bietet N. Einblicke in die Wiederanfänge der deutschbaltischen historischen Forschung und die Anfänge der Baltischen Historischen Kommission nach 1945. Ohne Wittrams nationalsozialistisches Engagement vor 1945 zu verschweigen, arbeitet er seine Leistung für die Grundlegung der deutschbaltischen historischen Forschung nach 1945 heraus.

N. ist – neben seinem Hauptberuf als Archivdirektor – ein sehr produktiver Historiker, wie das Verzeichnis seiner Publikationen für die Jahre 1983 bis 2015 zeigt. Mit diesen historiografiegeschichtlichen Studien bietet er archivarische Geschichtsschreibung in bester Tradition an, mit vorzüglicher Kenntnis der Forschung und einem die Landesgrenzen überschreitenden Horizont – Grundlagenforschung ohne Rücksicht auf modische Tendenzen. N. wird sie hoffentlich, wie er es sich selbst abschließend wünscht, „eines fernen Tages mit der Vorlage einer Geschichte der brandenburgischen Landesgeschichtsforschung im 19. und 20. Jahrhundert“ (S. 564) abschließen können.

Viersen

Wolfgang Kessler

Warsaw. The Jewish Metropolis. Essays in Honor of the 75th Birthday of Professor Antony Polonsky. Hrsg. von Glenn Dynner und François Guesnet. (IJS Studies in Judaica, Bd. 15.) Brill. Leiden u. a. 2015. XIII, 624 S., Ill., Kt. ISBN 978-90-04-29180-5. (€ 130,-)

This excellent collection of essays pays a fitting tribute to Antony Polonsky who has been instrumental to the field of Polish-Jewish history for almost four decades as a teacher, scholar, and founding editor of *POLIN: Studies in Polish Jewry*. Moving from the earliest history of Jewish settlement in Warsaw in the fifteenth century to the ‘anti-Zionist’ campaign of 1968, the volume covers a vast range of material and interpretational problems much like Polonsky has done and continues to do in his scholarly work and as editor of *POLIN*.

The volume takes as its topic Warsaw as a Jewish metropolis. Throughout much of the 19th century, Warsaw had the largest Jewish settlement in the world, and in the decades before the Holocaust it ranked among the largest two or three communities in the world. The sheer size of the community all but ensured the tremendous diversity that characterized Warsaw’s history as a Jewish metropolis, a city made up of Jews from many different parts of Poland and Europe and a city made up of Jews who identified with a wide range of different forms of being ‘Jewish’ (or not being Jewish) in the modern era: acculturation, Zionism, Jewish socialism, Diaspora nationalism, Hasidism, and so on. This diversity largely came to a violent and catastrophic end during the Holocaust when the Nazis transformed the geographic heart of the Jewish metropolis in the interwar years—the neighbourhood of Muranów—into a ghetto that held some 400,000 Jews. After the destruction of Polish Jewry during the Holocaust, Warsaw ceased to be a ‘Jewish metropolis’ as the rebuilding of Jewish life in postwar Poland shifted to the west of the country to the former German territories. Indeed, Muranów lay in total ruination in 1945, levelled to the ground by the Nazis after the Warsaw Ghetto Uprising.

This complex and dynamic history is analysed in twenty-four chapters that range from the economic history of the early modern Jewish mercantile elite to the cultural history of clothing decrees to the religious history of Warsaw’s rabbis to the intellectual history of the city’s Jewish historians during the interwar era. Students and established scholars wishing to conduct research on Warsaw’s Jewish history will turn to this volume as an indispensable first source for some of the most recent research in the field. And, as one of

Europe's most historically complex capitals, historians of modern European history will also profit from reading this volume to enrich their understanding of such diverse themes as nationalism, memory, violence, and religion. The one area somewhat surprisingly not given much coverage in this volume is the architectural and spatial history of Warsaw as a Jewish metropolis. While space does enter into a number of the chapters, and while the chapter by Karen Auerbach on residential life in post-1945 Warsaw provides an excellent overview of the postwar urban landscape, this area of urban history nevertheless receives less attention than it warrants.

The strength, however, of the volume lies above all in the historiographical interventions it makes into the fields of Jewish history in particular and modern East Central European in general. Scott Ury's chapter, for example, on a *fin de siècle* Jewish coffeehouse owned by Yehezkel Kotik addresses the complex issue of modern emancipation. The modern belief in emancipation, as Jürgen Habermas has emphasized, hinges on the creation of a public sphere in which all participants in a community accept the normative practice of rational deliberation to settle individual differences in the interests of all community members. Habermas identified the coffeehouse as one important forum for the creation of such a public sphere in which all have the right to contribute in a space that remains open and equal. Yet dialogue obviously ceases to be open and equal when an individual seeks to impose her views on another, as Ury shows, in his discussion of the reforms that Kotik advanced to mould his fellow Jews into 'respectable' and 'civil' members of Warsaw society. Kotik sought to shape Jews according to his own view of the modern world (p. 225). In short, Ury explores one of the most substantial contradictions in modernity itself that will be of interest to historians of East Central Europe and beyond; namely, the contradiction between the egalitarian promise of the public sphere and its fragility amid attempts by 'reformers' such as Kotik to mould society according to their own ideological views.

If Ury examines the contradictions of modern emancipation, Kenneth B. Moss turns his attention to the contradictions of Jewish nationalism in interwar Warsaw. Moss deals with a fundamental ambiguity: that Warsaw was a major centre of Jewish nationalism (particularly but not exclusively Zionism) on the one hand and that a substantial number of Jews in the city generally did not appear to embrace Jewish nationalism on the other (especially after the mid-1920s when Zionism contracted into subcultures). In an extensively documented chapter, Moss shows both the strengths and weaknesses of Jewish nationalism in Warsaw before coming to the important and suggestive conclusion that historians may wish to rethink the meaning of Jewish nationalism; this would involve thinking of Jewish nationalism not only in terms of organizations and numbers but also 'in the classical terms of intellectual history: as a set of ideas and claims about the world and one's own future in it about which growing numbers of Polish Jews had good reason to think seriously' (p. 434). This fruitful turn to intellectual history could apply to the study of nationalism more broadly in the field of East European history.

The intense political diversity that Moss captures so richly came to a tragic end in the Holocaust. Perhaps a recovery of Warsaw as the centre of post-1945 Polish Jewry could have happened. But, as David Engel argues, the available documentary evidence suggests that the emerging Polish Communist regime 'wished from the outset to hold the Jewish population of Warsaw to a minimum' by encouraging Jews to settle in the western territories (p. 567). Why would the regime have wanted to preclude the possibility of Warsaw becoming once again the centre of Jewish life in Poland? Engel speculates—compelling, to my mind—that the Communist regime desired to portray itself as 'authentically Polish' (p. 569) and, thus, a significant presence of Jews in the capital would have complicated that aim. As a growing amount of research by East Central European historians now shows, the Communist regime embraced an ethno-cultural interpretation of the nation that marginalized Jews, among other ethno-cultural minorities.

In 1967/68, this embrace of ethno-cultural nationalism underpinned the regime's 'anti-Zionist' campaign that led some 13,000 Jews to leave Poland. Among those who left, Jan

Gross, Irena Grudzińska, Aleksander Smolar and others founded the London-based journal *Aneks*. This journal, as Marci Shore reflects in an essay on the intellectual ramifications of March 1968, became a central forum for coming to terms with the failure of Marxism, a failure evident in the ethno-cultural nationalism of the regime, the bureaucratization of the party-state, and the antisemitism of 1967/68. Some of Poland's leading intellectuals, influenced by Leszek Kołakowski, developed new leftist commitments to individualism and pluralism as they critiqued the totalitarian movements of the twentieth century. They represented 'a generation of critique and a search for alternative values' (p. 612). This search, Shore suggests, continued a 'rich tradition of Jewish learning in the Polish lands' that thrived in and outside Poland, despite and because of the regime's antisemitic campaign (*ibidem*). Like Moss, Shore suggests the richness of intellectual history to studying East European history, while also uncovering the complex aftermath of Poland's 1968.

This volume ends in 1968. Since those years, Poland has experienced searing debates about Polish Jewish history and, after 1989, has seen the revival of Jewish communal life in cities such as Warsaw. Perhaps this history will be told by a future volume also inspired by Polonsky's enduring work on Polish Jewish history.

Clemson/SC

Michael Meng

Jüdische Räume und Topographien in Ost(mittel)europa. Konstruktionen in Literatur und Kultur. Hrsg. von Klavdia Smola und Olaf Terpitz. (Opera Slavica. Neue Folge, Bd. 61.) Harrassowitz. Wiesbaden 2014. XI, 274 S., 21 Ill., 6 graph. Darst. ISBN 978-3-447-10281-0. (€ 58,-.)

Gerade im Bereich der polnischen und tschechischen Literaturwissenschaft haben in den letzten zehn Jahren eine ganze Reihe etablierter Wissenschaftler/innen dazu beigetragen, Literatur jüdischer bzw. jüdischstämmiger Autor/inn/en in ihrer zeitlichen und thematischen Breite zu erfassen. Parallel dazu werden sozialer und geografischer Raum wieder verstärkt als Analysekategorie wahrgenommen. Dabei ist der *spatial turn* in der Literaturwissenschaft nicht neu, bedenkt man, dass raumzeitliche Konzepte bereits bei Jurij Lotman oder bei Michail Bachtins „Chronotopos“ entwickelt und etabliert wurden.

Angesichts der historisch tiefen Verwurzelung jüdischer Lebenswelten in Ost(mittel)europa bringen die Hrsg. Klavdia Smola und Olaf Terpitz im Vorwort ihre Verwunderung zum Ausdruck, dass „am Schnittpunkt zwischen Slavistik und Jewish Studies [...] noch viele bedeutende Lakunen auszumachen“ seien (S. VII). Dabei stellt eigentlich die Vernachlässigung der ost(mittel)europäisch-jüdischen Literatur im Zusammenhang mit dem *spatial turn* das größere Desiderat dar: Verglichen mit der irritierenden Ausblendung jüdischer Räume in älteren Sammelbänden¹, gestaltet sich die Literaturlage heute bereits differenzierter. In der Einführung werden durchaus einschlägige Werke mit topografischem Fokus genannt, diese sind aber stark auf die kulturellen Räume Israels oder Amerikas ausgerichtet bzw. behandeln literarische Zeugnisse nur marginal.

Der nun vorliegende Sammelband stellt eine erste Synthese der slavistischen Beschäftigung mit jüdischer Literatur und raumzeitlichen Konzepten dar und ist das Ergebnis des Panels „Slavisch-jüdische Topographien. Grenzen, Gedächtnis, Sprachen, Geopoetik(en)“, das am Dresdener Slavistentag 2012 vorgestellt wurde. Trotz des russistischen Arbeitsschwerpunktes beider Hrsg. ist eine erfreuliche slavistische Bandbreite zu verzeichnen: Die neun Aufsätze decken mit ihrem polnischen, tschechischen, russischen, aber auch litauisch-russischsprachigen Fokus einen weiten Raum ab. Schade ist allenfalls, dass aus dem südslavischen Gebiet kein Beitrag hinzugewonnen werden konnte.

¹ Vgl. hierzu RUDOLF JAWORSKI, JAN KUSBER u. a. (Hrsg.): *Gedächtnisorte in Osteuropa. Vergangenheiten auf dem Prüfstand*, Frankfurt a. M. 2003.